

RIDGELINE FREIGHT SOLUTIONS

Ideal Customer Profile

A data-driven definition of your highest-probability revenue targets, the buyers who control budget, and the signals that indicate readiness to buy.

March 12, 2026

Ridgeline's ideal customer is a US-based manufacturer or industrial distributor generating \$50M–\$500M in revenue, operating two or more distribution centers, and shipping FTL and LTL across domestic lanes. They buy because the cost of poor freight execution—missed pickups, detention charges, claims, visibility gaps—now exceeds the cost of outsourcing to a technology-forward brokerage. The internal logistics team is stretched thin, managing 15–50 carriers through phone calls and email while leadership demands cost-per-unit reporting they cannot produce. A trigger event—peak season crunch, carrier SLA failure, PE acquisition, or key-person departure—creates the window where Ridgeline's combination of carrier depth, real-time visibility, and shipment-level cost intelligence wins against both the status quo and traditional brokerages.

ICP Definition

The organizational profile and market signals that separate high-probability targets from the rest of your addressable market.

Firmographics

INDUSTRY

Manufacturing & Industrial Distribution

SUB-INDUSTRY

Building materials, automotive parts, food & beverage ingredients, chemical products, packaging and paper goods

EMPLOYEES	150–2,000
REVENUE	\$50M–\$500M
GEOGRAPHY	Continental United States, with concentration in Midwest, Southeast, and Texas Triangle corridors
GROWTH STAGE	Scaling operations, 10–25% annual revenue growth
OWNERSHIP	Privately held or PE-backed
MONTHLY SHIPMENT VOLUME	200–1,200 loads per month across FTL, LTL, and partial truckload modes
WAREHOUSE / DC COUNT	2–8 distribution centers or manufacturing plants with outbound shipping docks
ANNUAL FREIGHT SPEND	\$3M–\$25M, typically 6–12% of revenue, often lacking visibility into cost-per-unit or cost-per-order allocation
CARRIER MIX	15–50 active carriers with 70%+ volume concentrated on top 3–5 carriers, creating single-point-of-failure risk
FREIGHT COMPLEXITY	Multiple freight classes, temperature-sensitive or hazmat lanes, seasonal volume swings of 30–80%, and cross-dock or pool distribution requirements

Technographics

The technology stack, platforms, and tools your ICP typically operates on.

ERP SYSTEM	Running SAP Business One, NetSuite, or Epicor for core operations, but freight is managed outside the ERP via spreadsheets, email threads, and tribal knowledge. Shipping coordinators manually key load details into carrier portals.
TMS (TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM)	Either absent entirely or a failed/stalled implementation (Mercury-Gate, Kuebix, or BluJay started but never fully adopted). Under 40% of loads routed through the system. Team reverted to manual processes.

**WMS (WAREHOUSE
MANAGEMENT SYSTEM)**

Running some form of warehouse management (Manhattan Associates, Infor, or ERP-native module) for inventory and pick/pack, but outbound shipping disconnected from inbound freight planning.

VISIBILITY TOOLS

Relying on individual carrier portals and manual check calls for shipment tracking. No unified dashboard. Shipping manager maintains a personal spreadsheet with pickup confirmations and delivery ETAs updated twice daily.

**COMMUNICATION &
QUOTING**

Carrier rate negotiations happen via email and phone. Rate sheets stored in Excel workbooks organized by lane. No automated rate comparison, tender optimization, or spot-market benchmarking capability.

Trigger Events

Business events and organizational signals that create urgency and make the ICP ready to engage.

- **Peak season capacity crunch.** Q3/Q4 volume spike overwhelms the carrier base. The shipping team burns 60+ hours per week scrambling for capacity, paying 20–40% spot-market premiums, and still missing pickup windows. Leadership realizes the internal model cannot scale.
- **Carrier rate increase or SLA failure.** A top-3 carrier announces a 12–18% rate increase or repeatedly misses delivery windows, triggering customer chargebacks. The logistics team has no negotiating leverage because they lack lane-level cost data and alternative carrier relationships.
- **PE acquisition with cost visibility mandate.** A private equity firm acquires the company and demands freight-cost-as-a-percentage-of-revenue reporting within 100 days. The internal team cannot produce it because costs are scattered across carrier invoices, accessorial charges, and credit memos.
- **New distribution center opening.** The company opens a new DC or plant, creating 50–200 new outbound lanes overnight. The existing logistics team and carrier relationships cannot absorb the volume without significant lead time and procurement effort.
- **Major customer compliance penalties.** A top-5 customer begins enforcing OTIF (On-Time In-Full) penalties of \$500–\$5,000 per violation. The company discovers it has

no systemic way to track carrier performance against customer delivery requirements.

- **Key freight person departure.** The logistics manager or shipping supervisor who holds all carrier relationships, rate knowledge, and routing logic in their head gives notice. Leadership realizes the entire freight operation depends on one person’s institutional memory.

Persona

The individual who controls budget, their professional profile, and the operational context that drives their decisions.

Primary Buyer: VP of Supply Chain / Director of Logistics

TITLE RANGE	VP of Supply Chain, VP of Logistics, Director of Transportation, Director of Distribution Operations
REPORTS TO	COO or CEO (at companies under \$150M, often reports directly to CEO)
TEAM SIZE	Manages 3–15 direct and indirect reports including warehouse supervisors, shipping coordinators, and carrier relationship managers
COMPENSATION	\$130K–\$200K base salary + 15–25% performance bonus tied to cost reduction and delivery metrics
TENURE	2–5 years in current role; often promoted from within after managing a single facility, now responsible for multi-site logistics

THEIR WEEK

Monday opens with two dock no-shows—carriers that confirmed Friday afternoon pickups simply never arrived. The shipping supervisor has already called three backup carriers and is paying spot rates 35% above contract. By Tuesday, the VP is buried in a rate renegotiation with their largest carrier, comparing lane-by-lane rates in a 47-tab Excel workbook that hasn’t been audited in 18 months. Wednesday brings a capacity scramble: a key customer moved up their delivery window by two days, and the team needs to find three

available trucks on a hot lane by end of day. Thursday, the CFO pulls the VP into a meeting demanding to know why freight costs increased 14% quarter-over-quarter when revenue only grew 8%—the VP has carrier invoices but no way to allocate costs at the order level to answer the question. Friday is spent confirming Monday’s pickups one by one via phone and email, updating the tracking spreadsheet, and praying the weekend cross-dock operation runs without incident.

THEIR KPIS

- Freight cost per unit shipped (or cost per order)
- On-Time Pickup and Delivery (OTPD) rate across all carriers
- Claims ratio (damage and loss as a percentage of total shipments)
- Carrier compliance score (on-time tender acceptance, BOL accuracy, accessorial accuracy)
- Freight spend as a percentage of revenue (target: reduce by 1–3 points annually)
- Dock-to-dock cycle time and detention/demurrage charges

WHAT THEY TRIED BEFORE YOU

Initiatives the buyer has already pursued that failed to solve the core problem.

- **Hired a logistics coordinator.** Brought on a \$55K–\$65K coordinator to handle carrier communications and tracking. The role became 90% data entry—keying loads into carrier portals, updating spreadsheets, confirming appointments—with zero strategic value. Turnover in the role averages 14 months.
- **Purchased a TMS.** Invested \$80K–\$200K in a transportation management system (MercuryGate, Kuebix, or BluJay). Implementation stalled at 60% completion when the team realized they lacked the carrier data, rate structures, and internal bandwidth to configure and maintain the system. Less than 40% of loads are routed through it.
- **Ran a DIY carrier RFP.** Issued a freight RFP to 30+ carriers across key lanes. Half the carriers declined to bid (volume too small or lanes too inconsistent). The remaining bids required manual comparison in Excel. The process took 4 months, and by the time awards were made, market rates had shifted.

- **Used a legacy broker.** Engaged a traditional freight brokerage (regional or mid-tier national). Got capacity but no technology, no visibility, and no data. The broker's model was phone-and-email with a 15–18% margin baked into every load. No reporting, no optimization, no strategic value.
- **Built internal reporting from carrier portals.** Assigned the logistics coordinator to manually pull shipment data from 5–15 carrier portals weekly, compile it into a master spreadsheet, and produce a monthly freight report. The process takes 20+ hours per month, is riddled with errors, and is always 3–4 weeks stale by the time leadership sees it.

Secondary Stakeholders

CFO / VP OF FINANCE

Views freight as the largest controllable cost outside of raw materials. Needs a one-page savings summary showing current spend vs. optimized spend, with clear attribution of savings by lane, mode, and carrier. Will block any engagement that cannot demonstrate ROI within 90 days. Asks: "What is our freight cost per unit, and how does it compare to industry benchmarks?"

SHIPPING MANAGER / WAREHOUSE SUPERVISOR

The operational champion or potential saboteur. This person lives on the dock and manages day-to-day carrier interactions. If Ridgeline makes their 60-hour weeks easier (fewer no-shows, fewer check calls, automated confirmations), they become the strongest internal advocate. If the transition disrupts their routines without immediate relief, they will quietly undermine adoption. Must be shown tangible daily workflow improvements in the first two weeks.

VP OF SALES / COMMERCIAL DIRECTOR

Cares about freight only insofar as it affects customer satisfaction and retention. Their KPI is OTIF (On-Time In-Full) delivery rate, which directly impacts customer scorecards, contract renewals, and penalty exposure. Becomes an ally when shown that freight reliability improvements translate to fewer customer complaints and stronger contract retention.

IT DIRECTOR / SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATOR

Primary concern is ERP integration complexity and data security. Will ask about API connectivity, EDI requirements, data formats, and whether Ridgeline's platform requires changes to the existing tech stack. Needs assurance that the engagement can operate independently of the ERP during onboarding, with integration as a Phase 2 enhancement rather than a prerequisite.

**PE OPERATING PARTNER /
BOARD MEMBER**

Present in PE-backed companies. Focused on EBITDA impact, cost reduction as a percentage of revenue, and quarterly business review (QBR) format. Expects professional reporting with clear before/after metrics. Often the person who mandated the cost visibility initiative that created the buying window in the first place.

Pain Points

The operational problems and strategic gaps that create buying urgency for this ICP.

- 1. Carrier capacity volatility.** The company is over-reliant on 3–5 carriers for 70%+ of volume. When one carrier cuts capacity, raises rates, or misses service levels, the entire shipping operation scrambles. There is no pre-qualified backup carrier bench, no automated tender waterfall, and no spot-market strategy beyond calling brokers at premium rates.
- 2. Zero post-dispatch visibility.** Once a load is tendered, the shipping team has no unified way to track it. They log into individual carrier portals, make manual check calls, and update a spreadsheet. Customers asking “where is my shipment?” trigger a 15–30 minute research exercise every time. Proactive exception management is impossible.
- 3. Invoice chaos and freight audit failure.** Carrier invoices arrive in different formats (PDF, EDI, email, portal), with accessorial charges that don’t match quotes. The AP team pays invoices without auditing because they lack the data to dispute. Estimated overbilling: 3–7% of total freight spend, representing \$90K–\$1.75M annually in recoverable overcharges.
- 4. Carrier concentration risk.** With 70%+ of volume on a handful of carriers, the company has no negotiating leverage and no resilience. A single carrier bankruptcy, service failure, or capacity reduction can paralyze operations for weeks. The logistics team knows this is a risk but lacks the bandwidth to diversify.
- 5. Inability to report freight costs at the order level.** Leadership wants to know the true cost-to-serve for each customer, product line, and lane. The logistics team can produce total freight spend by carrier but cannot allocate costs to individual orders, SKUs, or customers because the data lives in disconnected systems.
- 6. Reactive transportation planning.** Freight is planned load-by-load, day-by-day, with no optimization across shipments, lanes, or time windows. Consolidation opportunities are missed. Mode optimization (FTL vs. LTL vs. partial) is done by gut

feel rather than data. The team is always reacting to today's fires rather than planning next week's freight.

- 7. Key-person dependency.** One or two people hold all carrier relationships, rate knowledge, routing logic, and exception-handling procedures in their heads. When they take vacation, operations degrade visibly. When they leave, the company loses months of institutional knowledge and must rebuild from scratch.

Messaging Angles

Tested patterns for cold outreach, social selling, and multi-channel engagement with this ICP.

Cold Email Hooks

First-line patterns calibrated to this buyer persona and their operational context.

HOOK PATTERN: TRIGGER + PAIN + PROOF

I noticed [signal] at [Company], and manufacturers at that stage typically find freight costs running 8–12% above optimized benchmarks because [pain] outpaces what the internal logistics team can handle.

BY TRIGGER TYPE

NEW FACILITY OPENING

I saw [Company] is opening a new distribution center in [location]. Companies adding DCs typically see a 3–6 month lag before their carrier network catches up to the new lane volume—during which they're paying 20–35% spot premiums on those lanes. We help manufacturers like [Company] have carrier coverage on new lanes from day one. Would a 15-minute call to walk through how we onboard new facilities make sense?

PE ACQUISITION

Congratulations on the [PE firm] partnership. In our experience, the first 100-day cost visibility mandate is where freight becomes the elephant in the room—it's usually 6–12% of revenue but impossible to report at the order level without the right data infrastructure. We've helped three PE-backed manufacturers produce board-ready freight analytics within 60 days. Worth a quick conversation?

CARRIER RATE INCREASE

I noticed [carrier] just announced a [X]% general rate increase effective [date]. Manufacturers shipping 200+ loads per month on that carrier typically have 60–90 days to renegotiate or diversify before the increase hits their P&L. We maintain rate benchmarks across 12,000+ lanes and can show you exactly where your rates sit relative to market. Would a lane-level rate comparison be useful?

KEY PERSON DEPARTURE

I saw that [Name], your [title], recently moved on. When the person who holds all the carrier relationships and routing knowledge leaves, it usually takes 6–9 months for the replacement to rebuild those relationships—and freight costs spike 10–15% in the interim. We can step in as a bridge to maintain carrier performance and start building institutional freight intelligence that doesn't walk out the door. Worth a conversation?

**CUSTOMER COMPLIANCE
PENALTY**

I noticed [Customer] recently tightened their OTIF requirements to [X]% with \$[Y] penalties per violation. Manufacturers shipping into retailers or OEMs with strict delivery windows often find that their carrier compliance tracking is manual and reactive—they learn about violations from chargebacks, not from their own data. We provide real-time carrier scorecarding that flags delivery risks before they become penalties. Could I show you how it works?

**SEASONAL CAPACITY
CRISIS**

With [peak season] approaching, manufacturers in [industry] typically see carrier rejection rates climb to 25–40% on their contract lanes. The companies that lock in capacity 90 days out avoid the spot-market scramble. We guarantee capacity on committed lanes through peak season for our managed accounts. Would it help to see how our capacity commitment model works before things get tight?

LinkedIn Angles

VP-level supply chain and logistics leaders in manufacturing are active on LinkedIn, primarily sharing content about supply chain resilience, freight market conditions, and operational efficiency. Connection requests that lead with a specific, data-backed observation about the prospect's freight environment perform significantly better than generic pitches. The key principle is demonstrating domain fluency: reference a specific lane corridor, a carrier market shift, or an industry-specific freight challenge. Share original content around lane-level rate benchmarks, carrier performance data, and freight cost optimization case studies. Position as a knowledgeable peer solving the same problems, not a salesperson pitching a service.

Winning Formula

The sequence of value propositions that consistently close this ICP.

- 1. Instant carrier depth eliminates capacity scrambles.** Instead of relying on 3–5 carriers and scrambling when one fails, Ridgeline provides immediate access to a pre-qualified network of 500+ carriers across every major lane. Automated tender waterfalls ensure loads are covered within minutes, not hours. Peak season and spot-market premiums drop 15–25% because capacity is pre-positioned.
- 2. Real-time visibility replaces check calls and spreadsheets.** Every shipment is tracked from pickup confirmation through proof of delivery on a single dashboard. Automated exception alerts flag delays, detention risks, and missed appointments before they become customer-facing problems. The shipping team reclaims 15–20 hours per week previously spent on manual tracking.
- 3. Shipment-level cost intelligence enables strategic decisions.** Every load is tagged with customer, product line, lane, and mode data, producing freight cost-per-unit and cost-per-order reporting that the internal team could never build. The CFO gets the cost-to-serve analysis they've been requesting. The VP of Supply Chain gets the data to negotiate from a position of strength.
- 4. Automated invoice audit recovers 3–7% of freight spend.** Every carrier invoice is matched against contracted rates, accessorial agreements, and shipment records. Discrepancies are flagged and disputed automatically. Clients typically recover \$90K–\$1.75M annually in overcharges that were previously paid without question.
- 5. Peak season capacity guarantee protects margins.** Ridgeline commits carrier capacity on key lanes 90 days before peak season, locking in rates and ensuring pickup

reliability when the spot market spikes. Clients avoid the annual Q4 scramble that historically costs 20–40% in premium freight charges.

Qualification

Must-Haves

- Shipping 200+ loads per month across FTL, LTL, or partial truckload modes
- Annual freight spend of \$3M or more (sufficient scale for optimization ROI)
- Operating 2 or more shipping locations (DCs, plants, or cross-dock facilities)
- Currently managing freight in-house or through a legacy broker with no technology platform
- Named VP or Director-level decision maker responsible for logistics/transportation
- Predominantly continental US domestic lanes (Ridgeline's carrier network strength)
- Willing to share 12 months of shipment data (carrier invoices, BOLs, or TMS export) for baseline analysis

Disqualifiers

- Annual freight spend under \$1M (insufficient volume to justify managed service economics)
- Primarily parcel or last-mile delivery (outside Ridgeline's FTL/LTL core competency)
- Mature TMS already operational and performing well (MercuryGate, Oracle TMS, or Blue Yonder at 80%+ adoption—they don't need a broker, they need a carrier network)
- Sealed-bid or government procurement process required (cycle time and compliance overhead incompatible with Ridgeline's consultative sales model)
- Churned through 3 or more freight providers in the past 5 years (indicates internal dysfunction or unrealistic expectations that no provider can satisfy)

- Government or defense contract compliance requirements (ITAR, DFARS, or classified freight handling—specialized regulatory burden outside Ridgeline’s current capabilities)
- Company in active financial distress, bankruptcy proceedings, or receivership (credit risk on freight payables and inability to commit to term agreements)

Discovery Questions

Open-ended questions designed to surface pain, qualify urgency, and map the buying committee.

- Q1** Walk me through how a load gets from ‘order received’ to ‘carrier dispatched’ today. How many people touch it, and where does the process break down?
- Q2** When your top carrier can’t cover a load, what’s the backup plan? How long does it take to find an alternative, and what does that cost you in spot premiums?
- Q3** If your CFO asked you tomorrow for your freight cost per unit shipped—broken out by customer and product line—how long would it take to produce that report?
- Q4** Tell me about the last shipment that went sideways. What happened, when did you find out, and what did it cost you in penalties, expedited freight, or customer goodwill?
- Q5** If your shipping manager gave two weeks’ notice today, what would break? How long would it take to get someone else up to speed on carrier relationships, rate sheets, and routing logic?
- Q6** How many hours per month does your team spend reconciling carrier invoices against contracted rates? What percentage of invoices have you been able to audit in the past 12 months?
- Q7** What happened during your last peak season? How many loads went to spot market, and what was the cost premium compared to your contract rates?
- Q8** Besides yourself, who else would need to be involved in evaluating and approving a managed freight provider? What are their specific concerns?

Objection Handling

Anticipated resistance from this buyer persona and field-tested responses for each.

“We have carrier relationships that work fine.”

That’s exactly why we layer on top rather than replace. Your core carriers stay in the mix—we add 500+ pre-qualified alternatives so you have backup capacity, competitive benchmarking, and negotiating leverage you don’t have today. Most clients keep their top carriers but shift 20–30% of volume to better-performing alternatives we surface.

“We tried a broker before and the service was terrible.”

Traditional brokers are phone-and-email operations with no technology and no accountability. Our model is fundamentally different: real-time visibility on every load, automated exception management, carrier performance scorecards, and a named account manager with a maximum 15-client portfolio. We’ll put service-level commitments in the contract with financial penalties if we miss them.

“We just need lower rates.”

Rates matter, but they’re typically 40–60% of your total freight cost. Detention charges, accessorial overbilling, spot-market premiums, claims, and missed delivery penalties often add 15–25% on top. We optimize total landed cost—not just line-haul rates. Our invoice audit alone typically recovers 3–7% of spend that’s currently being overpaid.

“We’re in the middle of an ERP migration and can’t take on another project.”

Our platform operates independently of your ERP—we ingest shipment data via spreadsheet upload, EDI, or API, so there’s zero impact on your migration timeline. In fact, most clients find that having clean freight data from our system makes the ERP freight module configuration easier when they get to that phase.

“We don’t have time to evaluate another provider right now.”

Understood. Here’s what we can do in two weeks with minimal time from your team: send us 12 months of freight invoices (any format), and we’ll produce a lane-level cost analysis showing exactly where you’re overpaying and where you have capacity risk. It’s free, it’s yours to keep regardless, and it takes one 30-minute call to walk through. If the data doesn’t show meaningful savings opportunity, we’ll tell you.

Competitive Alternatives

The solutions this ICP evaluates alongside yours, and how each positions against your offer.

IN-HOUSE STATUS QUO

Continue managing freight with the existing team, spreadsheets, and carrier relationships. Lowest perceived risk but highest hidden cost: spot-market premiums, invoice overbilling, no cost visibility, key-person dependency, and inability to scale. The default competitor in every deal—Ridgeline must quantify the cost of inaction.

NATIONAL BROKERAGES (C.H. ROBINSON, ECHO, XPO LOGISTICS)

Large-scale freight brokerages with massive carrier networks. Strength: carrier depth and lane coverage. Weakness: clients are one of thousands, service is commoditized, technology platforms are legacy, and named account managers carry 50–100+ accounts. Ridgeline wins on service depth, technology, and cost intelligence that nationals treat as premium add-ons.

TMS VENDORS (MERCURYGATE, KUEBIX/TRIMBLE, BLUJAY/E2OPEN)

Software-only transportation management systems. Strength: powerful routing and optimization engines. Weakness: require significant internal resources to implement, configure, and maintain. Most mid-market companies lack the dedicated IT and logistics staff to extract value from a TMS. Ridgeline wins because managed service includes the technology AND the operational execution.

**REGIONAL FREIGHT
BROKERS**

Local or regional brokerages with strong relationships in specific lanes or geographies. Strength: personalized service and deep knowledge of local carrier markets. Weakness: limited carrier network outside their region, no technology platform, no data/analytics capability, and single-point-of-failure if the account rep leaves. Ridgeline wins on national coverage, technology, and scalability.

HIRE ADDITIONAL STAFF

Add headcount: logistics coordinator (\$55K–\$65K), freight analyst (\$70K–\$85K), or logistics manager (\$90K–\$120K). Strength: dedicated internal resource. Weakness: single-person dependency, 3–6 month ramp time, 14–18 month average tenure in logistics roles, no technology platform included, and total loaded cost often exceeds managed service fee. Ridgeline wins on immediate capability, zero ramp time, and institutional knowledge that persists through turnover.

Deal Mechanics

DEAL SIZE

\$300K–\$2M in annual freight under management. Ridgeline earns a transparent margin on each load (8–14% depending on mode and volume), replacing or supplementing the client's existing freight spend rather than adding a net-new cost line.

INITIAL TERM

12-month initial term with a 90-day termination clause. The short exit window de-risks the decision for the buyer and signals Ridgeline's confidence in delivering measurable results within the first quarter.

RENEWAL

Auto-renewal on annual terms after the initial 12-month period. Retention target: 90%+ annual renewal rate driven by measurable cost savings and operational dependency.

SALES CYCLE

45–90 days from first meeting to signed agreement. Faster (30–45 days) when a trigger event creates urgency (peak season approaching, key person departed, PE mandate). Slower (90–120 days) when procurement or legal review is required for companies over \$200M revenue.

IMPLEMENTATION	30-day onboarding from signed agreement to first managed shipment. Week 1: data ingestion and lane analysis. Week 2: carrier procurement and rate benchmarking. Week 3: system configuration and team training. Week 4: go-live on initial freight volume.
SETUP FEE	No setup fee. Ridgeline absorbs onboarding costs (carrier procurement, lane analysis, system configuration) as an investment in the relationship.
EXPANSION PATH	Initial engagement typically covers 40–60% of the client’s freight volume (highest-pain lanes and modes). Expands to 80–95% within 6–12 months as Ridgeline demonstrates cost savings, service improvement, and reporting capability across initial lanes.

Channel Strategy

The outbound and inbound channels most effective at reaching this ICP.

OUTBOUND EMAIL (SIGNAL-BASED)	Primary channel. Monitor trigger events (new facilities, PE acquisitions, carrier rate increases, key hires/departures, customer compliance changes, seasonal capacity signals) and launch personalized outbound sequences within 48 hours of the signal. Target: VP of Supply Chain and Director of Logistics at companies matching ICP firmographics.
INDUSTRY EVENTS & CONFERENCES	Attend and sponsor key industry events: CSCMP EDGE, FreightWaves LIVE, TMSA Logistics Marketing & Sales Conference, SMC3 Jump Start. Focus on speaking opportunities and roundtable discussions rather than booth exhibitions. Goal: position Ridgeline leadership as freight optimization thought leaders, generate qualified conversations with VPs of Supply Chain.
LINKEDIN THOUGHT LEADERSHIP	Publish weekly content on freight market trends, lane-level rate benchmarks, carrier performance insights, and operational efficiency case studies. Engage directly with VP-level supply chain leaders. Build a following of 2,000+ qualified connections in target industries through consistent, data-driven content that demonstrates domain expertise.

REFERRAL NETWORK

Cultivate referral relationships with complementary service providers: ERP implementation consultants (NetSuite, SAP partners), warehouse automation vendors, supply chain consulting firms, and commercial insurance brokers. These partners encounter freight pain points but don't solve them—Ridgeline becomes their recommended freight partner.

PE OPERATING PARTNER NETWORK

Build relationships with operating partners and value creation teams at mid-market PE firms that invest in manufacturing and distribution. Position Ridgeline as the standard freight optimization partner for portfolio companies. One PE relationship can generate 3–8 qualified introductions across portfolio companies within 12 months.

Reference Accounts

Organizations that match this ICP and represent successful engagement archetypes.

\$120M building materials manufacturer in Ohio with 4 distribution centers and 400+ monthly loads. Peak season (April–September) created annual capacity crises with 30% of loads going to spot market at 25–40% premiums. Ridgeline onboarded in 28 days, pre-positioned carrier capacity for peak season, and reduced spot-market exposure from 30% to under 8%. First-year freight savings: \$340K (11% reduction in total freight spend). Shipping team reclaimed 18 hours per week from manual tracking and check calls.

\$220M PE-backed food ingredients distributor in Dallas with a 100-day post-acquisition cost visibility mandate from the PE operating partner. Had no ability to report freight cost per order or per customer. Ridgeline produced board-ready freight analytics within 45 days, identified \$180K in annual invoice overbilling through automated audit, and delivered a 14% reduction in average cost per shipment by optimizing mode selection and carrier mix across 6 facilities.

\$75M automotive parts distributor in Detroit where the 22-year logistics manager retired, taking all carrier relationships and routing knowledge with him. The replacement hire had no carrier contacts and no rate sheets. Ridgeline stepped in as a bridge, maintained carrier performance through the transition, and built an institutional freight management platform that eliminated single-person dependency. Zero service disruptions during the 90-day transition period.

\$310M industrial packaging company with 8 manufacturing plants that had invested \$180K in a TMS implementation which stalled at 35% adoption. The operations team had reverted to spreadsheets and phone calls. Ridgeline replaced the TMS with a managed service that delivered the same routing and optimization benefits without requiring internal IT resources. Full freight management across all 8 plants achieved in 90 days. Annual freight spend reduced by \$520K (9% of total) while OTPD rate improved from 87% to 96%.